

# First Order Logic: A Brief Tour (Part I)

Supratik Chakraborty  
IIT Bombay

Dec 5, 2016

- Variables:  $x, y, z, \dots$ 
  - Represent elements of an underlying set
- Constants:  $a, b, c, \dots$ 
  - Specific elements of underlying set
- Function symbols:  $f, g, h, \dots$ 
  - Arity of function: # of arguments
  - 0-ary functions: constants
- Relation (predicate) symbols:  $P, Q, R, \dots$ 
  - Hence, also called “predicate calculus”
  - Arity of predicate: # of arguments
- Fixed symbols:
  - Carried over from prop. logic:  $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, (, )$
  - New in FOL:  $\exists, \forall$  (“quantifiers”)

- A special binary predicate, used widely in maths
- Represented by special predicate symbol “ $=$ ”
- Semantically, binary identity relation (more on this later ...)
- First-order logic with equality
  - Different expressive power vis-a-vis first-order logic
  - Most of our discussions will assume availability of “ $=$ ”
  - Refer to as “first-order logic” unless the distinction is important

Two classes of syntactic objects: *terms* and *formulas*

## Terms

- Every variable is a term
- If  $f$  is an  $m$ -ary function,  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  are terms, then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  is also a term

## Atomic formulas

- If  $R$  is an  $n$ -ary predicate,  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms, then  $R(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  is an atomic formula
- Special case:  $t_1 = t_2$

- *Primitive fixed symbols:*  $\wedge$ ,  $\neg$ ,  $\exists$ 
  - Other choices also possible: E.g.,  $\vee$ ,  $\neg$ ,  $\forall$

## Rules for formulating formulas

- Every atomic formula is a formula
- If  $\varphi$  is a formula, so are  $\neg\varphi$  and  $(\varphi)$
- If  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are formulas, so is  $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$
- If  $\varphi$  is a formula, so is  $\exists x \varphi$  for any variable  $x$
- Formulas with other fixed symbols definable in terms of formulas with primitive symbols.
  - $\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \triangleq \neg(\neg\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2)$
  - $\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2 \triangleq \neg\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$
  - $\varphi_1 \leftrightarrow \varphi_2 \triangleq (\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_2 \rightarrow \varphi_1)$
  - $\forall x \varphi \triangleq \neg(\exists x \neg\varphi)$

# FOL formulas as strings

- Alphabet (over which strings are constructed):
  - Set of variable names, e.g.  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$
  - Set of constants, functions, predicates, e.g.  $\{a, b, f, =, P\}$
  - Fixed symbols  $\{\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \exists, \forall\}$
- Well-formed formula: string formed according to rules on prev. slide
  - $\forall x_1(\forall x_2 (((x_1 = a) \vee (x_1 = b)) \wedge \neg(f(x_2) = f(x_1))))$  is well-formed
  - $\forall(\forall x_1(x_1 = ab)\neg())x_2$  is not well-formed
- Well-formed formulas can be represented using parse trees
  - Consider the rules on prev. slide as production rules in a context-free grammar

- Alphabet (over which strings are constructed):
  - Set of variable names, e.g.  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$
  - **Set of constants, functions, predicates, e.g.  $\{a, b, f, =\}$ :**  
**Vocabulary**
  - Fixed symbols  $\{\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \exists, \forall\}$

- Alphabet (over which strings are constructed):
  - Set of variable names, e.g.  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$
  - **Set of constants, functions, predicates, e.g.  $\{a, b, f, =\}$ :**  
**Vocabulary**
  - Fixed symbols  $\{\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \exists, \forall\}$
- Smallest vocabulary to generate  
 $\forall x_1 (\forall x_2 (((x_1 = a) \vee (x_1 = b)) \wedge \neg(f(x_2) = f(x_1))))?$

- Alphabet (over which strings are constructed):
  - Set of variable names, e.g.  $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$
  - **Set of constants, functions, predicates, e.g.  $\{a, b, f, =\}$ :**  
**Vocabulary**
  - Fixed symbols  $\{\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \exists, \forall\}$
- Smallest vocabulary to generate  
 $\forall x_1 (\forall x_2 (((x_1 = a) \vee (x_1 = b)) \wedge \neg(f(x_2) = f(x_1))))?$ 
  - $\{a, b, f, =\}$

# Free Variables in a Formula

*Free variables* are those that are not quantified in a formula.  
Let  $\text{free}(\varphi)$  denote the set of free variables in  $\varphi$

- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{x \mid x \text{ occurs in } \varphi\}$
- If  $\varphi = \neg\psi$  or  $\varphi = (\psi)$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\psi)$
- If  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \cup \text{free}(\varphi_2)$
- if  $\varphi = \exists x \varphi_1$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \setminus \{x\}$

# Free Variables in a Formula

*Free variables* are those that are not quantified in a formula.  
Let  $\text{free}(\varphi)$  denote the set of free variables in  $\varphi$

- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{x \mid x \text{ occurs in } \varphi\}$
- If  $\varphi = \neg\psi$  or  $\varphi = (\psi)$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\psi)$
- If  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \cup \text{free}(\varphi_2)$
- If  $\varphi = \exists x \varphi_1$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \setminus \{x\}$
- What is  $\text{free}((\exists x P(x, y)) \wedge (\forall y Q(x, y)))$  ?

# Free Variables in a Formula

*Free variables* are those that are not quantified in a formula.  
Let  $\text{free}(\varphi)$  denote the set of free variables in  $\varphi$

- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{x \mid x \text{ occurs in } \varphi\}$
- If  $\varphi = \neg\psi$  or  $\varphi = (\psi)$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\psi)$
- If  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \cup \text{free}(\varphi_2)$
- If  $\varphi = \exists x \varphi_1$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \setminus \{x\}$
- What is  $\text{free}((\exists x P(x, y)) \wedge (\forall y Q(x, y)))$  ?
  - $= \text{free}((\exists x P(x, y))) \cup \text{free}(\forall y Q(x, y))$
  - $= \text{free}(P(x, y)) \setminus \{x\} \cup \text{free}(Q(x, y)) \setminus \{y\}$
  - $= \{x, y\} \setminus \{x\} \cup \{x, y\} \setminus \{y\} = \{x, y\}$

# Free Variables in a Formula

*Free variables* are those that are not quantified in a formula.  
Let  $\text{free}(\varphi)$  denote the set of free variables in  $\varphi$

- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{x \mid x \text{ occurs in } \varphi\}$
- If  $\varphi = \neg\psi$  or  $\varphi = (\psi)$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\psi)$
- If  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \cup \text{free}(\varphi_2)$
- If  $\varphi = \exists x \varphi_1$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi_1) \setminus \{x\}$
- What is  $\text{free}((\exists x P(x, y)) \wedge (\forall y Q(x, y)))$  ?
  - $= \text{free}((\exists x P(x, y))) \cup \text{free}(\forall y Q(x, y))$
  - $= \text{free}(P(x, y)) \setminus \{x\} \cup \text{free}(Q(x, y)) \setminus \{y\}$
  - $= \{x, y\} \setminus \{x\} \cup \{x, y\} \setminus \{y\} = \{x, y\}$

If  $\varphi$  has free variables  $\{x, y\}$ , we write  $\varphi(x, y)$

A formula with no free variables is a **sentence**, e.g.  $\exists x \forall y f(x) = y$

# Bound Variables in a Formula

*Bound variables* are those that are quantified in a formula.  
Let  $\text{bnd}(\varphi)$  denote the set of bound variables in  $\varphi$

- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \emptyset$
- If  $\varphi = \neg\psi$  or  $\varphi = (\psi)$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\psi)$
- If  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\varphi_1) \cup \text{bnd}(\varphi_2)$
- if  $\varphi = \exists x \varphi_1$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x\}$

# Bound Variables in a Formula

*Bound variables* are those that are quantified in a formula.  
Let  $\text{bnd}(\varphi)$  denote the set of bound variables in  $\varphi$

- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \emptyset$

- If  $\varphi = \neg\psi$  or  $\varphi = (\psi)$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\psi)$

- If  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\varphi_1) \cup \text{bnd}(\varphi_2)$

- If  $\varphi = \exists x \varphi_1$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x\}$

- What is  $\text{bnd}((\exists x P(x, y)) \wedge (\forall y Q(x, y)))$  ?

# Bound Variables in a Formula

*Bound variables* are those that are quantified in a formula.  
Let  $\text{bnd}(\varphi)$  denote the set of bound variables in  $\varphi$

- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \emptyset$
- If  $\varphi = \neg\psi$  or  $\varphi = (\psi)$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\psi)$
- If  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\varphi_1) \cup \text{bnd}(\varphi_2)$
- If  $\varphi = \exists x \varphi_1$ ,  $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x\}$
- What is  $\text{bnd}((\exists x P(x, y)) \wedge (\forall y Q(x, y)))$  ?
  - $= \text{bnd}((\exists x P(x, y))) \cup \text{bnd}(\forall y Q(x, y))$
  - $= \text{bnd}(P(x, y)) \cup \{x\} \cup \text{bnd}(Q(x, y)) \cup \{y\}$
  - $= \emptyset \cup \{x\} \cup \emptyset \cup \{y\}$
  - $= \{x\} \cup \{y\} = \{x, y\}$  !!!
- $\text{free}(\varphi)$  and  $\text{bnd}(\varphi)$  are not complements!

# Substitution in FOL

Suppose  $x \in \text{free}(\varphi)$  and  $t$  is any term.

We wish to replace every free occurrence of  $x$  in  $\varphi$  with  $t$ , such that free variables in  $t$  stay free in the resulting formula.

Term  $t$  is free for  $x$  in  $\varphi$  if no free occurrence of  $x$  in  $\varphi$  is in the scope of  $\forall y$  or  $\exists y$  for any variable  $y$  occurring in  $t$ .

- $\varphi \triangleq \exists y R(x, y) \vee \forall x R(z, x)$ , and  $t$  is  $f(z, x)$
- $f(z, x)$  is free for  $x$  in  $\varphi$ , but  $f(y, x)$  is not

$\varphi[t/x]$ : Formula obtained by replacing each free occurrence of  $x$  in  $\varphi$  by  $t$ , if  $t$  is free for  $x$  in  $\varphi$

- For  $\varphi$  defined above,

$$\varphi[f(z, x)/x] \triangleq \exists y R(f(z, x), y) \vee \forall x R(z, x)$$

# Semantics of FOL: Some Intuition

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

English reading: For every  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $P(x, y)$  holds, we can find  $z$  distinct from  $x$  and  $y$  such that both  $P(x, z)$  and  $P(z, y)$  hold.

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

English reading: For every  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $P(x, y)$  holds, we can find  $z$  distinct from  $x$  and  $y$  such that both  $P(x, z)$  and  $P(z, y)$  hold.

## Case 1:

- Variables take values from **real numbers**
- $P(x, y)$  represents  $x < y$
- English reading simply states “real numbers are dense”
- $\varphi$  is **true**

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

## Case 2:

- Variables take values from **real numbers**
- $P(x, y)$  represents  $x \leq y$
- English reading requires the following to be true
  - If  $x = y$ , there is a  $z$  such that  $z \neq x$ ,  $x \leq z$  and  $z \leq x$
  - Thus,  $z \neq x$  and  $z = x$
- $\varphi$  is **false**

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

## Case 3:

- Variables take values from **natural numbers**
- $P(x, y)$  represents  $x < y$
- English reading states that “natural numbers are dense”
- $\varphi$  is **false**

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

## Case 3:

- Variables take values from **natural numbers**
- $P(x, y)$  represents  $x < y$
- English reading states that “natural numbers are dense”
- $\varphi$  is **false**

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on the underlying set from which variables take values, and on how constants, functions, predicates are interpreted

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

- 1 Universe  $U$  from which variables take values, e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

- 1 Universe  $U$  from which variables take values, e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$
- 2 Interpretation of vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

- ① Universe  $U$  from which variables take values, e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$
- ② Interpretation of vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$ 
  - Map each constant symbol to an element of  $U$ , e.g.  $a \mapsto 0$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

- 1 Universe  $U$  from which variables take values, e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$
- 2 Interpretation of vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$ 
  - Map each constant symbol to an element of  $U$ , e.g.  $a \mapsto 0$
  - Map each  $n$ -ary function symbol to a function from  $U^n$  to  $U$ ,  
e.g.  $f(u, v) = u + v$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

- 1 Universe  $U$  from which variables take values, e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$
- 2 Interpretation of vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$ 
  - Map each constant symbol to an element of  $U$ , e.g.  $a \mapsto 0$
  - Map each  $n$ -ary function symbol to a function from  $U^n$  to  $U$ , e.g.  $f(u, v) = u + v$
  - Map each  $m$ -ary predicate symbol to a subset of  $U^m$   
e.g. Interp. for  $=$ :  $\{(c, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{N}\}$  – fixed interpretation  
Interp. for  $R$ :  $\{(c, d) \mid c, d \in U, c < d\}$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

- 1 Universe  $U$  from which variables take values, e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$
- 2 Interpretation of vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$ 
  - Map each constant symbol to an element of  $U$ , e.g.  $a \mapsto 0$
  - Map each  $n$ -ary function symbol to a function from  $U^n$  to  $U$ , e.g.  $f(u, v) = u + v$
  - Map each  $m$ -ary predicate symbol to a subset of  $U^m$   
e.g. Interp. for  $=$ :  $\{(c, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{N}\}$  – fixed interpretation  
Interp. for  $R$ :  $\{(c, d) \mid c, d \in U, c < d\}$

1 and 2 define a  $\mathcal{V}$ -structure  $M = (U^M, (a^M, f^M, R^M))$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ : E.g.  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$\mathcal{V}$ -formula: E.g.  $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$   
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of  $\varphi$  depends on

- 1 Universe  $U$  from which variables take values, e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$
- 2 Interpretation of vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $U$ 
  - Map each constant symbol to an element of  $U$ , e.g.  $a \mapsto 0$
  - Map each  $n$ -ary function symbol to a function from  $U^n$  to  $U$ , e.g.  $f(u, v) = u + v$
  - Map each  $m$ -ary predicate symbol to a subset of  $U^m$   
e.g. Interp. for  $=$ :  $\{(c, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{N}\}$  – fixed interpretation  
Interp. for  $R$ :  $\{(c, d) \mid c, d \in U, c < d\}$

1 and 2 define a  $\mathcal{V}$ -structure  $M = (U^M, (a^M, f^M, R^M))$

- 3 Binding (aka environment)  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U$   
e.g.  $\alpha(y) = 2$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

- Extend  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$  to  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ 
  - If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
  - If  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

- Extend  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$  to  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ 
  - If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
  - If  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$ 
    - In prev. example,  $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

- Extend  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$  to  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ 
  - If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
  - If  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$ 
    - In prev. example,  $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula
  - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$  iff  $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$  coincide
  - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  iff  $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

- Extend  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$  to  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ 
  - If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
  - If  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$ 
    - In prev. example,  $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula
  - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$  iff  $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$  coincide
  - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  iff  $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$ 
    - In prev. example, suppose  $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$ . Then  $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$  as  $(\bar{\alpha}'(x), \bar{\alpha}'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$ .

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

- Extend  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$  to  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ 
  - If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
  - If  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$ 
    - In prev. example,  $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula
  - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$  iff  $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$  coincide
  - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  iff  $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$ 
    - In prev. example, suppose  $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$ . Then  $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$  as  $(\bar{\alpha}'(x), \bar{\alpha}'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$ .
- $M, \alpha \models \neg\varphi_i$  iff  $M, \alpha \not\models \varphi_1$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

- Extend  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$  to  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ 
  - If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
  - If  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$ 
    - In prev. example,  $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula
  - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$  iff  $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$  coincide
  - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  iff  $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$ 
    - In prev. example, suppose  $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$ . Then  $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$  as  $(\bar{\alpha}'(x), \bar{\alpha}'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$ .
- $M, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ ; iff  $M, \alpha \not\models \varphi_1$
- $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$  iff  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1$  and  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_2$

# Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure  $M$  and binding  $\alpha$ , does  $\varphi$  evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does  $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$ ?

- Extend  $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$  to  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ 
  - If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
  - If  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$ 
    - In prev. example,  $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula
  - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$  iff  $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$  coincide
  - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  iff  $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$ 
    - In prev. example, suppose  $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$ . Then  $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$  as  $(\bar{\alpha}'(x), \bar{\alpha}'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$ .
- $M, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ ; iff  $M, \alpha \not\models \varphi_1$
- $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$  iff  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1$  and  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_2$
- $M, \alpha \models \exists x \varphi$  iff there is some  $c \in U^M$  such that  $M, \alpha[x \mapsto c] \models \varphi$ , where
  - $\alpha[x \mapsto c](v) = \alpha(v)$ , if variable  $v$  is different from  $x$
  - $\alpha[x \mapsto c](x) = c$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\overbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, (\overbrace{0}^{a^M}, \overbrace{u+v}^{f^M(u,v)}, \overbrace{u < v}^{R^M(u,v)}))$$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\overbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, \quad (\overbrace{0}^{a^M}, \quad \overbrace{u+v}^{f^M(u,v)}, \quad \overbrace{u < v}^{R^M(u,v)}))$$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$ , and  $\alpha(y) = 2$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\overbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, \quad (\overbrace{0}^{a^M}, \quad \overbrace{u+v}^{f^M(u,v)}, \quad \overbrace{u < v}^{R^M(u,v)}))$$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$ , and  $\alpha(y) = 2$
- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
- Therefore,  $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\overbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, \quad (\overbrace{0}^{a^M}, \quad \overbrace{u+v}^{f^M(u,v)}, \quad \overbrace{u < v}^{R^M(u,v)}))$$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$ , and  $\alpha(y) = 2$
- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
- Therefore,  $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
- Similarly,  $M, \alpha[x \mapsto 0] \models R(x, f(y, a))$
- Therefore,  $M, \alpha \models \exists x R(x, f(y, a))$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\overbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, \overbrace{0}^{a^M}, \overbrace{u+v}^{f^M(u,v)}, \overbrace{u < v}^{R^M(u,v)})$$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$ , and  $\alpha(y) = 2$
- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
- Therefore,  $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
- Similarly,  $M, \alpha[x \mapsto 0] \models R(x, f(y, a))$
- Therefore,  $M, \alpha \models \exists x R(x, f(y, a))$
- Finally,  $M, \alpha \models \varphi$

# Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\overbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, \quad (\overbrace{0}^{a^M}, \quad \overbrace{u+v}^{f^M(u,v)}, \quad \overbrace{u < v}^{R^M(u,v)}))$$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$ , and  $\alpha(y) = 2$
- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
- Therefore,  $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
- Similarly,  $M, \alpha[x \mapsto 0] \models R(x, f(y, a))$
- Therefore,  $M, \alpha \models \exists x R(x, f(y, a))$
- Finally,  $M, \alpha \models \varphi$
- Note that if  $\alpha'(y) = 1$ ,  $M, \alpha' \not\models \varphi$

# Semantic Relations in FOL

Let  $\Gamma = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and  $\psi$  be a formula

# Semantic Relations in FOL

Let  $\Gamma = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and  $\psi$  be a formula

Let  $\Gamma = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and  $\psi$  be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:**  $\Gamma \models \psi$  holds iff whenever  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$  for all  $\varphi_i \in \Gamma$ , then  $M, \alpha \models \psi$  as well.
  - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), \quad R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$

Let  $\Gamma = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and  $\psi$  be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:**  $\Gamma \models \psi$  holds iff whenever  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$  for all  $\varphi_i \in \Gamma$ , then  $M, \alpha \models \psi$  as well.
  - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$
- **Satisfiability:**  $\psi$  is satisfiable iff there is some  $M$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $M, \alpha \models \psi$ 
  - $\exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$  is satisfiable

# Semantic Relations in FOL

Let  $\Gamma = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and  $\psi$  be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:**  $\Gamma \models \psi$  holds iff whenever  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$  for all  $\varphi_i \in \Gamma$ , then  $M, \alpha \models \psi$  as well.
  - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$
- **Satisfiability:**  $\psi$  is satisfiable iff there is some  $M$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $M, \alpha \models \psi$ 
  - $\exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$  is satisfiable
- **Validity:** A  $\mathcal{V}$ -formula  $\psi$  is valid iff  $M, \alpha \models \psi$  for all  $\mathcal{V}$ -structures  $M$  and all bindings  $\alpha$  that assign values from  $U^M$  to  $\text{free}(\psi)$ .
  - $\forall x P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists x P(x, y)$  is valid

# Semantic Relations in FOL

Let  $\Gamma = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and  $\psi$  be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:**  $\Gamma \models \psi$  holds iff whenever  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$  for all  $\varphi_i \in \Gamma$ , then  $M, \alpha \models \psi$  as well.
  - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$
- **Satisfiability:**  $\psi$  is satisfiable iff there is some  $M$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $M, \alpha \models \psi$ 
  - $\exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$  is satisfiable
- **Validity:** A  $\mathcal{V}$ -formula  $\psi$  is valid iff  $M, \alpha \models \psi$  for all  $\mathcal{V}$ -structures  $M$  and all bindings  $\alpha$  that assign values from  $U^M$  to  $\text{free}(\psi)$ .
  - $\forall x P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists x P(x, y)$  is valid
- **Consistency:**  $\Gamma$  is consistent iff there is at least one  $M$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$  for all  $\varphi_i \in \Gamma$ .
  - $\{\exists x R(x, y), \exists x R(f(x), y), \exists x R(f(f(x)), y), \dots\}$  is consistent

# Sematic Equivalence in FOL

$\varphi \equiv \psi$  iff  $\{\varphi\} \models \psi$  and  $\{\psi\} \models \varphi$ .

## Quantifier Equivalences

- $\forall x \forall y \varphi \equiv \forall y \forall x \varphi, \quad \exists x \exists y \varphi \equiv \exists y \exists x \varphi$
- $\forall x (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \equiv (\forall x \varphi_1) \wedge (\forall x \varphi_2)$
- $\exists x (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \equiv (\exists x \varphi_1) \vee (\exists x \varphi_2)$
- If  $x \notin \text{free}(\varphi_2)$ , then  $Qx (\varphi_1 \text{ op } \varphi_2) \equiv (Qx \varphi_1) \text{ op } \varphi_2$ , where  $Q \in \{\exists, \forall\}$  and  $\text{op} \in \{\vee, \wedge\}$ .

## Renaming Quantified Variables

Let  $z \notin \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{bnd}(\varphi)$ .

Then  $Qx \varphi \equiv Qz \varphi[z/x]$  for  $Q \in \{\exists, \forall\}$ .

Enabler for substitution, e.g.,  $\exists x R(f(x, y), w) \equiv \exists z R(f(z, y), w)$   
 $f(x, y)$  not free for  $y$  in  $\exists x R(f(x, y), w)$ , but is free for  $y$  in  
 $\exists z R(f(z, y), w)$ .

- If  $\varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -sentence (no free vars), no binding  $\alpha$  necessary for evaluating truth of  $\varphi$ 
  - Given  $\mathcal{V}$ -structure  $M$ , we can ask if  $M \models \varphi$
  - Class of  $\mathcal{V}$ -structures defined by  $\varphi$  is  $\{M \models \varphi\}$
- Some examples of structures: graphs, databases, number systems

# Graphs as FO structures

A graph  $G$

- $U^G$ : set of vertices
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{E, =\}$ , where  $E$  is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For  $a, b \in U^G$ ,  $E^G(a, b) = \text{true}$  iff there is an edge from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$

# Graphs as FO structures

A graph  $G$

- $U^G$ : set of vertices
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{E, =\}$ , where  $E$  is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For  $a, b \in U^G$ ,  $E^G(a, b) = \text{true}$  iff there is an edge from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all cliques

# Graphs as FO structures

A graph  $G$

- $U^G$ : set of vertices
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{E, =\}$ , where  $E$  is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For  $a, b \in U^G$ ,  $E^G(a, b) = \text{true}$  iff there is an edge from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all cliques
- $\exists x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow \neg E(x, y))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all graphs with at least one vertex from which there is no edge to any other vertex

# Graphs as FO structures

A graph  $G$

- $U^G$ : set of vertices
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{E, =\}$ , where  $E$  is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For  $a, b \in U^G$ ,  $E^G(a, b) = \text{true}$  iff there is an edge from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all cliques
- $\exists x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow \neg E(x, y))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all graphs with at least one vertex from which there is no edge to any other vertex
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z (\neg(x = y) \wedge \neg(y = z) \wedge \neg(z = x)) \rightarrow \neg(E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \wedge E(z, x))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all graphs with no cycles of length 3

# Graphs as FO structures

A graph  $G$

- $U^G$ : set of vertices
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{E, =\}$ , where  $E$  is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For  $a, b \in U^G$ ,  $E^G(a, b) = \text{true}$  iff there is an edge from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all cliques
- $\exists x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow \neg E(x, y))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all graphs with at least one vertex from which there is no edge to any other vertex
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z (\neg(x = y) \wedge \neg(y = z) \wedge \neg(z = x)) \rightarrow \neg(E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \wedge E(z, x))$ 
  - (Infinite) class of all graphs with no cycles of length 3
- $\exists x \exists y (\neg(x = y) \wedge E(x, y) \wedge \forall z ((x = z) \vee (y = z)))$ 
  - (Finite) class of graphs with exactly two connected vertices.

## A relational database $D$

- $U^D$ : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$ , where  $P_i$  is a  $k_i$ -ary predicate corr. to the  $i^{th}$  table in database with  $k_i$  columns
- Interpretation: For  $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$ ,  $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \mathbf{true}$  iff  $(a_1, \dots, a_{k_1})$  is a row of the  $i^{th}$  table

A relational database  $D$

- $U^D$ : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$ , where  $P_i$  is a  $k_i$ -ary predicate corr. to the  $i^{th}$  table in database with  $k_i$  columns
- Interpretation: For  $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$ ,  $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \text{true}$  iff  $(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i})$  is a row of the  $i^{th}$  table

Examples of classes of databases definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \text{StRec}(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow \text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{Class}(x, z)$ 
  - Table StRec is the natural join of Tables Dob and Class

A relational database  $D$

- $U^D$ : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$ , where  $P_i$  is a  $k_i$ -ary predicate corr. to the  $i^{th}$  table in database with  $k_i$  columns
- Interpretation: For  $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$ ,  $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \text{true}$  iff  $(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i})$  is a row of the  $i^{th}$  table

Examples of classes of databases definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \text{StRec}(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow \text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{Class}(x, z)$ 
  - Table StRec is the natural join of Tables Dob and Class
- $\forall x \forall y \text{Dob}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z \text{StRec}(x, y, z)$ 
  - Table Dob is a projection of Table StRec

A relational database  $D$

- $U^D$ : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$ , where  $P_i$  is a  $k_i$ -ary predicate corr. to the  $i^{th}$  table in database with  $k_i$  columns
- Interpretation: For  $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$ ,  $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \text{true}$  iff  $(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i})$  is a row of the  $i^{th}$  table

Examples of classes of databases definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \text{StRec}(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow \text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{Class}(x, z)$ 
  - Table StRec is the natural join of Tables Dob and Class
- $\forall x \forall y \text{Dob}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z \text{StRec}(x, y, z)$ 
  - Table Dob is a projection of Table StRec

Example database query:

- $\varphi(x) \triangleq \exists y \exists z (\text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{After}(y, "01/01/1990") \wedge \text{Class}(x, z) \wedge \text{Primary}(z))$

Defines set of students born after "01/01/1990" and studying in a primary class.

# Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

# Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

Examples of properties expressible in FOL:

- $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y (x = ((y \times y) \times y))$ 
  - Every real number has a real cube root

# Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

Examples of properties expressible in FOL:

- $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y (x = ((y \times y) \times y))$ 
  - Every real number has a real cube root
- $\mathfrak{N} \not\models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$   
 $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$ 
  - Not every natural number can be expressed as the sum of squares of two natural numbers. This can be done for real numbers

# Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

Examples of properties expressible in FOL:

- $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y (x = ((y \times y) \times y))$ 
  - Every real number has a real cube root
- $\mathfrak{N} \not\models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$   
 $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$ 
  - Not every natural number can be expressed as the sum of squares of two natural numbers. This can be done for real numbers
- $\mathfrak{N} \models \forall x \exists y ((x < y) \wedge (\forall z \forall w (y = z \times w) \rightarrow ((z = y) \vee (w = y))))$ 
  - There are infinitely many prime natural numbers

# A Proof System for FOL

All proof rules considered yesterday for Propositional Logic

Additional proof rules for quantifiers and equality

$$\frac{}{t = t} \quad (= \text{introduction})$$

$$\frac{t_1 = t_2 \quad \varphi[t_1/x]}{\varphi[t_2/x]} \quad (= \text{elimination})$$

$$\frac{\forall x \varphi}{\varphi[t/x]} \quad (\forall \text{ elimination})$$

$$\frac{[x_0 \quad \dots \quad \varphi[x_0/x]]}{\forall x \varphi} \quad (\forall \text{ introduction})$$

$$\frac{\varphi[t/x]}{\exists x \varphi} \quad (\exists \text{ introduction})$$

$$\frac{\exists x \varphi \quad [x_0 \quad \varphi[x_0/x] \quad \dots \quad \chi]}{\chi} \quad (\exists \text{ elimination})$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite set of FOL formulas, and  $\psi$  be a FOL formula.  
We say  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$  if  $\psi$  can be syntactically derived from  $\Gamma$  by a finite sequence of application of our proof rules.

## Soundness

If  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ , then  $\Gamma \models \psi$

## Completeness

If  $\Gamma \models \psi$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$

# Soundness, Completeness, Undecidability

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite set of FOL formulas, and  $\psi$  be a FOL formula. We say  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$  if  $\psi$  can be syntactically derived from  $\Gamma$  by a finite sequence of application of our proof rules.

## Soundness

If  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ , then  $\Gamma \models \psi$

## Completeness

If  $\Gamma \models \psi$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$

## Undecidability

Given a FOL formula  $\varphi$ , checking validity of  $\varphi$ , i.e. does  $\{\} \models \varphi$  is undecidable.

Completeness implies that detecting non-validity is non-terminating, in general

## Compactness Theorem

Let  $\Gamma$  be a (possibly infinite) set of FOL formulas. If all finite subsets of  $\Gamma$  are consistent (satisfiable), then so is  $\Gamma$

Consequences of compactness:

- **Upward Lowenheim Skolem Theorem:** Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\mathcal{V}$ -sentence such that for every natural number  $n \geq 1$ , there is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -structure  $M_n$  with  $\geq n$  elements in its universe, such that  $M_n \models \varphi$ . Then there exists a  $\mathcal{V}$ -structure  $M$  with infinitely many elements in its universe, such that  $M \models \varphi$

*Proof:* Follows from Compactness Theorem

**Consequences:**

There is no FOL sentence that describes the class of finite cliques

There is no FOL sentence that describes the class of finite sets