University of BRISTOL

Dark Matter searches at the LHC

Henning Flächer
H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory
University of Bristol

on behalf of the LHC collaborations

SUSY17 –TIFR – Mumbai – 12 Dec 2017

Dark Matter @ the LHC

- Overwhelming evidence for Dark Matter but corresponding particle missing from SM
- When searching for Dark Matter, the big question is:
  - How does it interact?
- All evidence for Dark Matter so far is gravitational
  - Why look for it a colliders?



Introduction/Motivation

- Why look for DM at colliders?
- Assumption that in early universe DM was in thermal equilibrium with SM matter
  - some interaction with SM matter
- As universe expands and cools down, DM decouples
- DM abundance determined by annihilation cross section at freeze-out
- A particle with weak scale interactions and mass of O(100 GeV) gives relic density in agreement with our measurements
  - "WIMP miracle"



$$\Omega_\chi h^2 \simeq 0.1 \times \left(\frac{3 \times 10^{-26} cm^3 s^{-1}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \right)$$

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 3 \times 10^{-26} cm^3 s^{-1}$$
$$\sim \pi \alpha^2 / (100\ \mathrm{GeV})^2$$

What interactions to probe?

- Start with minimal assumptions
  - Effective Field Theories



- Described in terms of Lorentz structure, DM mass and cut-off scale

- Need to be careful at LHC @ 13 TeV
- EFT only valid if $Q^2 << M$

- Simplified models
  - Resolve the interaction



- Come with different assumptions for interactions/mediators

- scalar ($\psi\psi$)
- pseudo scalar ($\psi\gamma^5\psi$)
- vector $\psi\gamma^\mu\psi$,
- axial-vector ($\psi\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi$)

What interactions to probe?

- Start with minimal assumptions
  - Effective Field Theories



- Simplified models
  - Resolve the interaction



We also typically need additional radiation (ISR)
as WIMPs are invisible to the detectors

Simplified Dark Matter models

- Typically parameterized by 5 parameters:



- mass of DM particle, m_{χ}
- mass and width of mediator particle, m_{med}, Γ_{med}
- coupling of mediator to SM sector, g_q
- coupling of mediator to DM sector, g_{χ}

- A/A-V: $g_q = 0.25$, $g_{\chi} = 1$
- S/P-S: $g_q = 1$, $g_{\chi} = 1$

- Searches focus on two main signatures:

- DM production via mediator
  - Missing energy searches as a result of escaping DM particles
  - Mono-X signatures
    - X = jet, photon, W, Z, H,…

- Resonant production of mediator particle and decay back to SM particles
  - di-jet, di-lepton,di-top,… resonances

Dark Matter LHC Working Group

- Systematic approach pursued through Dark Matter LHC Working group
  - https://lpcc.web.cern.ch/content/lhc-dm-wg-wg-dark-matter-searches-lhc
  - with involvement of both experimentalists and theorists
- Recommendations for models and their implementation
- Guidelines on how to compare collider searches with direct detection limits
- Recommendations for comparison of searches for heavy mediators of DM production

Dark Matter Benchmark Models for Early LHC Run-2 Searches: Report of the ATLAS/CMS Dark Matter Forum

August 8, 2016

Daniel Abercrombie *MIT, USA*
Nural Akchurin *Texas Tech University, USA*
Ece Akilli *Université de G*
Juan Alcaraz Maestre *Ce*
(CIEMAT), Spain
Brandon Allen *MIT, USA*
Barbara Alvarez Gonzale
Jeremy Andrea *Institut P*
Université de Strasbourg
Alexandre Arbey *Univers*
Ecole Normale Supérieu
Georges Azuelos *Univer*
Patrizia Azzi *INFN Padov*
Mihailo Backović *Centre*
catholique de Louvain, B
Yang Bai *Department of*
Swagato Banerjee *Unive*
James Beacham *Ohio St*
Alexander Belyaev *Ruthe*
dom
Antonio Boveia (editor) *C*
Amelia Jean Brennan *Th*
Oliver Buchmueller *Impe*
Matthew R. Buckley *Dep*
Giorgio Busoni *SISSA ar*
Michael Buttignol *Institut*
Université de Strasbourg
Giacomo Cacciapaglia *U*
France
Regina Caputo *Santa Cr*
of Astronomy and Astrop
Linda Carpenter *Ohio St*
Nuno Filipe Castro *LIP-M*
Ciências da Universidad
Guillelmo Gomez Ceball
Yangyang Cheng *Univers*
John Paul Chou *Rutgers*
Arely Cortes Gonzalez *I*

arXiv:1507.00966v1 [hep-ex] 3 Jul 2015

CERN-LPCC-2016-001

Recommendations on presenting LHC searches for missing transverse energy signals using simplified s-channel models of dark matter

Antonio Boveia,[1,*] Oliver
Francesco D'Eramo,[4] Alb
Caterina Doglioni,[7,*] Matt
Kristian Hahn,[9,*] Ulrich H
Jan Heisig,[12] Valerio Ippo
Valentin V. Khoze,[15] Sucl
Steven Lowette,[18] Sarah
Christopher McCabe,[19,*]
Tristan du Pree,[1] Antonio
Kai Schmidt-Hoberg,[14] W
Lian-Tao Wang,[25] Steven

*Editor
[1]CERN, EP Department, CH-1211
[2]High Energy Physics Group, Black
London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingd
[3]ARC Centre of Excellence for Pa
versity of Melbourne, 3010, Austr
[4]UC, Santa Cruz and UC, Santa C
[5]Antwerp University, B2610 Wilrij
[6]SISSA and INFN Sezione di Tries
[7]Fysiska institutionen, Lunds univ
[8]LPSC, Universite Grenoble-Alpes
[9]Department of Physics and Astron
USA
[10]Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoret
United Kingdom

arXiv:1603.04156v1 [hep-ex] 14 Mar 2016

CERN-LPCC-2017-01

Recommendations of the LHC Dark Matter Working Group: Comparing LHC searches for heavy mediators of dark matter production in visible and invisible decay channels

Andreas Albert,[1,*] Mihailo Backović,[2] Antonio Boveia,[3,*]
Oliver Buchmueller,[4,*] Giorgio Busoni,[5,*] Albert De Roeck,[6,7]
Caterina Doglioni,[8,*] Tristan DuPree,[9,*] Malcolm Fairbairn,[10,*]
Marie-Hélène Genest,[11] Stefania Gori,[12] Giuliano Gustavino,[13]
Kristian Hahn,[14,*] Ulrich Haisch,[15,16,*] Philip C. Harris,[7]
Dan Hayden,[17] Valerio Ippolito,[18] Isabelle John,[8]
Felix Kahlhoefer,[19,*] Suchita Kulkarni,[20] Greg Landsberg,[21]
Steven Lowette,[22] Kentarou Mawatari,[11] Antonio Riotto,[23]
William Shepherd,[24] Tim M.P. Tait,[25,*] Emma Tolley,[3]
Patrick Tunney,[10,*] Bryan Zaldivar,[26,*] Markus Zinser[24]

*Editor
[1]III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany
[2]Center for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology - CP3, Universite Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-neuve, Belgium
[3]Ohio State University, 191 W. Woodruff Avenue Columbus, OH 43210
[4]High Energy Physics Group, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, Prince Consort Road, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
[5]ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale, School of Physics, Uni-

arXiv:1703.05703v2 [hep-ex] 17 Mar 2017

Simplified Dark Matter models

Mono-mania @ the LHC…

Mono-Z



Mono-photon



Mono-jet



Mono-Higgs





Mono-W





Mono-top



… complemented by searches for "full", UV-complete models, such as

- Supersymmetry
- Kaluza-Klein extra dimensions
- Little Higgs models
- etc.

- …and of course there are also other DM candidates but this talk will mainly focus on WIMPs

Mono-mania


q
g
χ
g_q
g_χ
med
$\bar{q}$
$\bar{\chi}$


ATLAS
EXPERIMENT
Run: 302393
Event: 738941529
2016-06-20 07:26:47 CEST

Mono-jet & Mono-V Searches

- Search for jets with missing energy
  - Jets or boosted vector bosons (W,Z)

- Comparison of data with background prediction



CMS EXO-16-048

- Main background from Z → νν + jets and W+jets production



ATLAS EXOT-2016-27

Mono-jet & Mono-V Searches

- Interpretation in simplified DM models
- s-channel with different mediators



- Set limits on allowed masses and coupling strength (next slide)

- Further interpretations in
  - fermion portal models
  - coloured scalar mediator models
  - nonthermal dark matter model

Vector



Axial-Vector



Scalar



Pseudo-Scalar



ATLAS EXOT-2016-27

CMS EXO-16-048

Mono-jet & Mono-V Searches

- Interpretation in simplified DM models
- s-channel with different mediators





CMS EXO-16-048

Mono-photon

- Photon p_T > 150 GeV
- Veto events with more than 1 jet
- Require angular separation between photon and missing energy





Weaker sensitivity compared to mono-jet ISR searches

But also EFTs with direct couplings to photons





[ATLAS: Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 393]

[Comparable CMS analysis: JHEP 10 (2017) 073]

Mono-Z

- Search for dark matter candidates produced in association with a Z boson
- *ATLAS: PLB 776 (2017) 318*, **arXiv:1708.09624**







Axial-Vector



CMS-EXO-16-052

Vector



Pseudo-scalar



Scalar almost identical

Higgs as a portal to Dark Matter

- Study of Higgs → invisible branching fraction in VBF, association with V and gluon fusion production modes.
- As Higgs couples proportionally to mass, making it a viable portal to DM
  - Only sensitive to DM masses < 0.5 m_H



- Additional searches for Higgs in conjunction with DM in bb and γγ final states (→ backup)

- Higgs production in association with $Z \to ll$

CMS-EXO-16-052



- Combination of $H \to$ invisible production modes
- $B(H \to inv) < 0.24$ @95%CL

CMS-HIG-16-016



DM in association with heavy flavours

- DM in association with bottom or top quarks
- VBF like signature, b-jet tagging



Scalar/
Pseudoscalar
mediators



- Interpretation in colour-neutral $t\bar{t}/b\bar{b}+\varphi$ scalar and $t\bar{t}/b\bar{b}+a$ pseudoscalar models
- Not yet sensitive to bb + φ/a production
- Also searches with single top
  - CMS EXO-16-051



arXiv:1710.11412

Scalar

Similar sensitivity to pseudoscalar

- Fixed DM mass
- Limit as fcn of mediator mass



- Fixed mediator mass
- Limit as fcn of DM mass



Mediator Searches





High-mass dijet resonances

- Search for resonance in dijet invariant mass spectrum



1 TeV



Low-mass dijet resonances

- Low mass mediators are difficult to constrain because of huge QCD dijet background
  - difficult/impossible to cope with total event rate
- Possible ways out:
  - "Data scouting": perform analysis on dataset that contains reduced event information (trigger level), allowing to store data at very high rate
  - Trigger on high-pT ISR jet or photon and search for low mass resonance in recoil system
  - Trigger on high-pT ISR jet and search for merged, boosted resonance in recoil, using jet subtructure

ATLAS Trigger Level Analysis

ATLAS-CONF-2016-030



ATLAS ISR search (photon)

ATLAS-CONF-2016-070



Low-mass dijets– boosted topology

- Resonance is produced with sufficiently high transverse momentum that its decay products are merged into a single jet with two-prong substructure.



CMS-EXO-17-001

- Soft drop mass for jets in different p_T ranges
- Peak from Ws and Zs clearly visible
- Sensitive to dijet resonances with masses as low as 50 GeV



Dilepton resonances (Z')

- $Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $Z' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ searches
  - Require high-p_T same flavour, opposite charge dilepton pair
  - Limits on Z' mass of around 4 - 4.5 TeV



JHEP 10 (2017) 182





Model	Width [%]	θ_{E_6} [rad]	Lower limits on $M_{Z'}$ [TeV]					
			ee		$\mu\mu$		$\ell\ell$	
			Obs	Exp	Obs	Exp	Obs	Exp
Z'_{SSM}	3.0	-	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.5	4.5
Z'_{χ}	1.2	0.50 π	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.0
Z'_{S}	1.2	0.63 π	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.5	4.0	4.0
Z'_{I}	1.1	0.71 π	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.4	4.0	3.9
Z'_{η}	0.6	0.21 π	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.3	3.9	3.8
Z'_{N}	0.6	$-0.08\ \pi$	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.8	3.8
Z'_{ψ}	0.5	0 π	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.8	3.7

The grand picture

- Can combine searches for DM signal (missing energy) and those for mediators to constrain allowed model parameter space



The grand picture

- Can combine searches for DM signal (missing energy) and those for mediators to constrain allowed model parameter space
- Exclusion strongly depends on choices for additional parameters, e.g., coupling to quarks but also leptons!



The grand picture

- Can combine searches for DM signal (missing energy) and those for mediators to constrain allowed model parameter space
- Exclusion strongly depends on choices for additional parameters, e.g., coupling to quarks but also leptons!



The grand picture

- Can combine searches for DM signal (missing energy) and those for mediators to constrain allowed model parameter space
- Exclusion strongly depends on choices for additional parameters, e.g., coupling to quarks but also leptons!



The grand picture

- Much weaker constraints on Scalar and Pseudo-Scalar mediators
- Exclusion only for very light DM and couplings $g_q = g_{DM} = 1$.





Comparison with Direct Detection

- Complementarity with Direct Detection clearly visible
- Sensitivity strongly depends on type of DM interaction
  - Can be used to learn about underlying physics in case one or the other sees a signal
- Collider searches more powerful for small DM masses

Spin-independent



Spin-dependent



Axial-vector mediator Dirac DM g_q=0.25, g_χ = 1

Comparison with Direct Detection

- Constraints on B(H → inv) can be translated to limits in scattering cross section vs DM mass plane to compare with direct detection experiments.
- Sensitive to masses < 0.5 m_H
- very sensitive at low DM masses



Pros and Cons: Collider vs Direct Detection

Wimp – Nucleon Interaction	
Spin-Independent (SI)	**Spin-Dependent (SD)**
Basic Mediators	
Vector *Besides low DM masses DD provides best sensitivity. Complementarity at low DM masses (<5 GeV)!*	**Axial-vector** *DD and collider are equal in overall sensitivity but probe different regions of parameter space! Complementarity in full parameter space!*
Scalar *Besides low DM masses DD provides best sensitivity. Complementarity at low DM masses (<5 GeV)!*	**Pseudoscalar** *Effectively no limits from DD above a few GeV in M_{med}, Collider and ID probe region at larger M_{med}. Complementarity in M_{med}!*

from
O. Buchmueller

Outlook – Where next?

Axial-Vector mediator
$g_q = g_\chi = 1$



Vector mediator
$g_q = g_\chi = 1$



from arXiv:1409.4075, Phys.Dark Univ. 9-10 (2015) 51-58

Dark Photon search @ LHCb

- Assume a dark sector, a collection of particles that are not charged directly under the SM strong, weak, or electromagnetic forces.
- Dark photon, A′, whose coupling to the electromagnetic current is suppressed relative to that of the ordinary photon, γ, by a factor of ε
- Dark Photon would also couple to DM
- Search for Dark Photon decay to di-muon pair in meson decays





arXiv:1710.02867





Summary

- Comprehensive search programme for dark matter is underway at LHC
- So far focused on WIMP signatures
  - Guidance from simplified Dark Matter models
  - Search strategy includes searching for DM production but also for mediators that couple to both SM and DM
- Long-lived signatures to be added to search portfolio
- Extend searches beyond WIMP signatures

- DM searches @ LHC a powerful tool
  - In particular sensitivity to small DM masses
  - Sensitivity strongly depends on assumptions made for DM interaction, spin structure of mediator and coupling strength
  - In many ways complementary to direct detection searches
  - This could be crucial for understanding the underlying physics in case of a signal from either approach

- Backup

Mono-jet & Mono-V Searches

- Interpretation in simplified DM models
- Fermion portal models





- Interpretation in simplified DM models
- Coloured scalar mediator models





DM in association with heavy flavours

- Interpretation in colour-neutral $t\bar{t}/b\bar{b}+\varphi$ scalar and $t\bar{t}/b\bar{b}+a$ pseudoscalar models
  - Fixed DM mass
  - Limit as fcn of mediator mass
  - Fixed mediator mass
  - Limit as fcn of DM mass
- Not yet sensitive to bb + φ/a production

Scalar



Pseudo-Scalar







DM in association with heavy flavours

- colour-charged scalar mediators (b-FDM)





Mono-Higgs

- Higgs production in conjunction with DM
  - Higgs decay to γγ





CMS-PAS-EXO-16-054

- Higgs production in conjunction with DM
  - Higgs decay to bb





Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 181804

High-mass dijet resonances

- Search for resonance in dijet invariant mass spectrum



1 TeV

DM mediator would show up here



- ”bump hunt” not effective for very wide resonances
- Investigate di-jet scattering angle in this case

Comparison with Direct Detection

- Complementarity with Direct Detection clearly visible
- Sensitivity strongly depends on type of DM interaction
  - Can be used to learn about underlying physics in case one or the other sees a signal
- Collider searches more powerful for small DM masses